ALGEBRAIC PHASE UNWRAPPING FOR FUNCTIONAL DATA ANALYTIC ESTIMATIONS — EXTENSIONS AND STABILIZATIONS

Daichi Kitahara and Isao Yamada

Department of Communications and Integrated Systems, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Japan E-mail: {kitahara, isao}@sp.ss.titech.ac.jp

ABSTRACT

The phase unwrapping, which is a problem to reconstruct the continuous phase function of an unknown complex function from its finite observed samples, has been a key for estimating useful physical quantity in many signal and image processing applications. In the light of the functional data analysis, it is natural to estimate first the unknown complex function by a certain piecewise complex polynomial and then to compute the exact unwrapped phase of the piecewise complex polynomial with the algebraic phase unwrapping algorithms. In this paper, we propose several useful extensions and numerical stabilization of the algebraic phase unwrapping along the real axis. The proposed extensions include (i) removal of a certain critical assumption premised in the original algebraic phase unwrapping, and (ii) algebraic phase unwrapping for a pair of bivariate polynomials. Moreover, in order to resolve certain numerical instabilities caused by the coefficient growth in an inductive step in the original algorithm, we propose to compute directly a certain subresultant sequence without passing through the inductive step.

Index Terms— Algebraic phase unwrapping, Functional data analysis, Two-dimensional phase unwrapping, Path independence condition, Numerical stabilization

1. INTRODUCTION

In many signal and image processing problems, the phase unwrapping has been a key for estimating some physical quantity [1, 2], for example, surface topography in synthetic aperture radar (SAR) (and synthetic aperture sonar (SAS)) interferometry [3, 4, 5, 6, 7], wavefront distortion in adaptive optics [8, 9, 10], the degree of magnetic field inhomogeneity in the water/fat separation problem of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) [11, 12, 13], the relationship between the object phase and the bispectrum phase in astronomical imaging [14, 15] and the accurate profiling of mechanical parts by x-ray [16, 17]. Recently the phase unwrapping has been applied to a frequency estimation problem [18] and a DOA estimation problem [19].

Suppose that

$$\begin{pmatrix} d_{(0)}(\gamma(\zeta_k)), d_{(1)}(\gamma(\zeta_k)) \end{pmatrix} = (f_{(0)}(\gamma(\zeta_k)) + \varepsilon_{(0)}(\gamma(\zeta_k)), f_{(1)}(\gamma(\zeta_k)) + \varepsilon_{(1)}(\gamma(\zeta_k))) \in \mathbb{R}^2$$
(1)

 $\begin{array}{l} (k=1,2,\ldots,s) \text{ are given as a finite sequence of 2-D noisy real} \\ \text{vectors, where } f_{(i)} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \ (i=0,1) \text{ are unknown functions,} \\ \varepsilon_{(i)} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \ (i=0,1) \text{ are additive random noise functions, and} \\ \gamma : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ is a known piecewise } C^1 \text{ function which defines a} \\ \text{path along the sample points } \gamma(\zeta_k) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \ (a \leq \zeta_1 < \zeta_2 < \cdots < \zeta_s \leq b). \\ \text{For simplicity, denote by } F : [a,b] \ni t \mapsto F_{(0)}(t) + jF_{(1)}(t) \in \end{array}$

For simplicity, denote by $F : [a, b] \ni t \mapsto F_{(0)}(t) + jF_{(1)}(t) \in \mathbb{C}$ a univariate complex valued function defined as

$$F_{(i)}(t) := f_{(i)}(\gamma(t)) \text{ for all } t \in [a,b] \quad (i = 0,1).$$

The two-dimensional phase unwrapping of $(f_{(0)}, f_{(1)})$ along γ at $(x^*, y^*) := \gamma(t^*) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, or the phase unwrapping of F at $t^* \in [a, b]$

along the real axis, is a problem of estimating the unwrapped phase

$$\theta_{f}^{[\gamma]}(x^{*}, y^{*}) := \theta_{F}(t^{*}) := \theta_{F}(a) + \int_{a}^{t^{*}} \Im\left\{\frac{F_{(0)}'(t) + jF_{(1)}(t)}{F_{(0)}(t) + jF_{(1)}(t)}\right\} dt$$
(2)
by using the data $(d_{(0)}(\gamma(\zeta_{k})), d_{(1)}(\gamma(\zeta_{k})))$, where $\theta_{F}(a) \in \mathcal{C}$

 $(-\pi,\pi]$ satisfies $F(a) = |F(a)|e^{j\theta_F(a)}$.

Despite the tremendous effort made so far, a technically reliable phase unwrapping has not yet been established for its practical use in wide range of signal and image processing. This is mainly because $\theta_F(t)$ ($a \le t \le b$) is continuously defined along the arc $\gamma([a, b])$ as in (2) while most existing phase unwrapping algorithms, e.g., path-following methods [4, 20, 21, 22], minimum-norm methods [23, 24, 25] and network flow methods [26, 27] estimate the unwrapped phase θ_F only at ζ_k ($k = 1, 2, \ldots, s$) without checking the consistency with θ_F at $t \in (\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1})$.

In this paper, in the spirit of functional data analysis [28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33], we consider the situation where the functions $F_{(i)}$: $[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (i = 0, 1) have been approximated respectively by piecewise polynomials (i.e., Spline functions) $\widetilde{F}_{(i)}$: $[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (i = 0, 1) through some smoothing techniques. In such a case, it is natural to estimate $\theta_F(t^*)$ in (2) by

$$\theta_{\widetilde{F}}(t^*) := \theta_{\widetilde{F}}(a) + \int_{a}^{t^*} \Im\left\{\frac{\widetilde{F}_{(0)}'(t) + j\widetilde{F}_{(1)}'(t)}{\widetilde{F}_{(0)}(t) + j\widetilde{F}_{(1)}(t)}\right\} dt.$$
(3)

By dividing the interval [a, b] into finite subintervals, the unwrapped phase $\theta_{\tilde{F}}(t^*)$ in (3) can be computed [34] by the algebraic phase unwrapping along the real axis [19, 35] without requiring any numerical root finding or numerical integration technique.

However, in a direct computer implementation of the algorithms in the algebraic phase unwrapping $[19, 36, 37]^1$ as well as in a direct implementation of Algorithm 1 (Sturm- \mathcal{R}) in Sect. 2.2, we encounter numerical instabilities, especially for polynomials of relatively large degree, due to the unavoidable gap between theoretical value and numerical value computed by digital computer using finite digit number systems. Therefore, thoughtless direct implementation of the algebraic phase unwrapping algorithms for polynomials of large degree, sometimes results in the failure of the phase unwrapping.

The goal of this paper is to present several extensions and numerical stabilization of the algebraic phase unwrapping along the real axis [19]. In Sect. 2, we present a new algorithm (Algorithm 1) to define a new *Sturm sequence*, unlike [19, SGA 2]. Theorem 1 based on Algorithm 1 can deal with a special case $A_{(0)}(a) = 0$ which is excluded in [19, Theorem 1]. In Sect. 3, we consider the two-dimensional phase unwrapping and elucidate the condition for

This work was supported in part by JSPS Grants-in-Aid (B-21300091).

¹The algebraic phase unwrapping for complex polynomials along the unit circle was established first in [36]. As its continuations, the algebraic phase unwrapping along the real axis [19] and that along the imaginary axis [37] have been developed.

the path independence of the two-dimensional phase unwrapping. In particular, if bivariate polynomial functions $f_{(i)} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \ (i=0,1)$ satisfy $f(x,y) := f_{(0)}(x,y) + jf_{(1)}(x,y) \neq 0$ for all (x,y) in a simply connected domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, the two-dimensional unwrapped phase $\theta_f \in C^2(D)$ can be computed uniquely with Theorem 1. In Sect. 4, in order to stabilize the computation of $\theta_A(t^*)$ in Theorem 1, we propose to replace the inductive computation of the polynomials $\Psi_k(t)$ $(k=0,1,\ldots,q)$ in Algorithm 1, followed by their numerical evaluation at $t^* \in [a, b]$, with the direct numerical computation of the subresultant sequence [38, 39] at t^* . For this purpose, we present relation between the sign of the Sturm sequence and that of the subresultant sequence (Proposition 1). By the proposed replacement, the sign of the Sturm sequence can be computed without suffering from the propagation of errors caused by the coefficient growth in the process of Algorithm 1, and then the algebraic phase unwrapping is stabilized greatly even for polynomials of relatively large degree. The extensive numerical experiments exemplify the notable performance improvement made by the proposed numerical stabilization.

Relation to Prior Work

The work presented here focuses on the extension and stabilization of the algebraic phase unwrapping along the real axis [19]. The work by Yamada and Oguchi [19] does not consider the path independence of the two-dimensional phase unwrapping, and the original algorithm [19, SGA 2] sometimes causes certain numerical instabilities in the computer implementation. Therefore in this paper, we elucidate the condition for the path independence of the two-dimensional phase unwrapping, and extend the algebraic phase unwrapping for a pair of bivariate polynomials. Moreover we stabilize the algebraic phase unwrapping with the subresultant sequence.

2. ALGEBRAIC PHASE UNWRAPPING FOR POLYNOMIALS

2.1. Notations

Let \mathbb{N}^* , \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} denote respectively the set of all positive integers, real numbers and complex numbers. We use $j \in \mathbb{C}$ to denote the imaginary unit satisfying $j^2 = -1$. For any $c \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Re(c)$ and $\Im(c)$ stand respectively for the real and imaginary parts of c. For any $C(t) = \sum_{k=0}^m c_k t^k \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ (s.t. $c_m \neq 0$ and $m \geq 0$), we define $\deg(C) := m$ and $\operatorname{lc}(C) := c_m$. For any $C(t) = \sum_{k=0}^m c_k t^k \in \mathbb{C}[t]$, we use the expression $C(t) = C_{(0)}(t) + jC_{(1)}(t)$, where $C_{(0)}(t) := \sum_{k=0}^m \Re(c_k)t^k \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ and $C_{(1)}(t) := \sum_{k=0}^m \Im(c_k)t^k \in \mathbb{R}[t]$. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, its sign is defined by

$$\operatorname{sgn}(x) := \begin{cases} x/|x| & \text{if } x \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0, \end{cases}$$

and $\arctan(x) = x$ and $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \arctan(x) < \frac{\pi}{2}$.

2.2. Algebraic Phase Unwrapping

The next theorem presents an exact solution of the phase unwrapping problem for complex polynomials along the real axis. This theorem is a relaxation of [19, Theorem 1]. Indeed, Theorem 1 can deal with a special case $A_{(0)}(a) = 0$ which is excluded in [19, Theorem 1].

Theorem 1 (Algebraic phase unwrapping for a univariate complex polynomial along the real axis) Let $\{\Psi_k(t)\}_{k=0}^q$ be the Sturm sequence generated by applying Algorithm 1 (Sturm- \mathcal{R}) to $A_{(0)}(t) \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ and $A_{(1)}(t) \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ under the assumptions $A(t) := A_{(0)}(t) + jA_{(1)}(t) \neq 0$ ($t \in [a, b]$), $A_{(0)}(t) \neq 0$ and $A_{(1)}(t) \neq 0$. Define at each $t \in [a, b]$ the number of variations in the sign of $\{\Psi_k(t)\}_{k=0}^q$ by

$$V\{\Psi(t)\} := V\{\Psi_0(t), \Psi_1(t), \dots, \Psi_q(t)\}$$

:= $|\{i \mid 0 \le i < q \text{ and } \Psi_i(t)\Psi_{i+\varrho(i)}(t) < 0\}|,$

where $\varrho(i) := \min\{k \in \mathbb{N}^* \mid \Psi_{i+k}(t) \neq 0\}$. Then, for every $t^* \in (a, b]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_A(t^*) &= \theta_A(a) + \int_a^{t^*} \frac{A'_{(1)}(t)A_{(0)}(t) - A_{(1)}(t)A'_{(0)}(t)}{\{A_{(0)}(t)\}^2 + \{A_{(1)}(t)\}^2} dt \\ &= \theta_A(a) - \begin{cases} \arctan\{\mathcal{Q}_A(a)\} & \text{if } A_{(0)}(a) \neq 0, \\ \operatorname{sgn}(\Psi_0(a)\Psi_1(a))\pi/2 & \text{if } A_{(0)}(a) = 0, \end{cases} \\ + \begin{cases} \arctan\{\mathcal{Q}_A(t^*)\} + [V\{\Psi(t^*)\} - V\{\Psi(a)\}]\pi \\ & \text{if } A_{(0)}(t^*) \neq 0, \end{cases} (4) \\ \pi/2 + [V\{\Psi(t^*)\} - V\{\Psi(a)\}]\pi & \text{if } A_{(0)}(t^*) = 0, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_A(t) := \frac{A_{(1)}(t)}{A_{(0)}(t)}$ and $\theta_A(a) \in (-\pi, \pi]$ s.t. $A(a) = |A(a)|e^{j\theta_A(a)}$.

Algorithm I Sturm generating algorithm (Sturm- \mathcal{R})			
Input: $A_{(0)}(t), A_{(1)}(t) \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$			
1: $\Psi_0(t) \leftarrow \frac{A_{(0)}(t)}{(t-a)^{e_0}}, \Psi_1(t) \leftarrow \frac{A_{(1)}(t)}{(t-a)^{e_1}}$ (where e_i denotes			
the order of $t = a$ as a zero of polynomial $A_{(i)}(t)$ $(i = 0, 1)$)			
2: $k \leftarrow 1$			
3: while $\deg(\Psi_k) \neq 0$ do			
4: $\Psi_{k+1}(t) \leftarrow -\Psi_{k-1}(t) + H_k(t)\Psi_k(t)$			
4: (where $H_k(t) \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ and $\deg(\Psi_{k+1}) < \deg(\Psi_k)$)			
5: $k \leftarrow k+1$			
6: end while			
7: $q \leftarrow \begin{cases} k & \text{if } \Psi_k(t) \neq 0\\ k-1 & \text{if } \Psi_k(t) \equiv 0 \end{cases}$			
$k-1$ if $\Psi_k(t) \equiv 0$			
$\overline{\mathbf{Output:} \{\Psi_k(t)\}_{k=0}^q}$			

Example 1 (Expression of the exact unwrapped phase by Theorem 1) Let us construct the unwrapped phase $\theta_A(t)$ ($0 \le t \le 1$) of the univariate complex polynomial

$$A(t) := A_{(0)}(t) + jA_{(1)}(t)$$

= $(t^4 - 1.11t^3 + 0.356t^2 - 0.0255t)$
+ $j(t^4 - 2.525t^3 + 2.29995t^2 - 0.906172t + 0.131222)$

without using any root finding or numerical integration technique. Applying Algorithm 1 to $A_{(0)}(t)$ and $A_{(1)}(t)$ for a = 0 and

b = 1, we obtain the Sturm sequence $\{\Psi_k(t)\}_{k=0}^5$ as

$$\begin{split} \Psi_0(t) &= t^3 - \frac{111}{100}t^2 + \frac{89}{250}t - \frac{51}{2000}, \\ \Psi_1(t) &= t^4 - \frac{101}{40}t^3 + \frac{45999}{20000}t^2 - \frac{226543}{250000}t + \frac{65611}{500000}, \\ \Psi_2(t) &= -t^3 + \frac{111}{100}t^2 - \frac{89}{250}t + \frac{51}{2000}, \\ \Psi_3(t) &= -\frac{3733}{10000}t^2 + \frac{94233}{250000}t - \frac{190279}{2000000}, \\ \Psi_4(t) &= -\frac{27788829033}{260102169185000}t + \frac{15335859}{278705780000}, \\ \Psi_5(t) &= \frac{3391452647840106395584666460779211811}{119967177270575015975354069525774695200000}. \end{split}$$

From $A_{(0)}(0) = 0$ and $A_{(1)}(0) = \frac{65611}{500000}$, we have $\theta_A(0) = \pi/2$. Moreover, from $\operatorname{sgn}(\Psi_0(0)\Psi_1(0)) = \operatorname{sgn}\left(-\frac{3346161}{1000000000}\right) = -1$



Fig. 1. Exact unwrapped phase by Theorem 1

and $V\{\Psi(0)\} = V\{-\frac{51}{2000}, \frac{65611}{50000}, \frac{51}{2000}, -\frac{190279}{2000000}, \frac{15335859}{278705780000}, \frac{3391452647840106395584666460779211811}{1199671772705750159753540695257746952000000}\} = 3, the unwrapped phase <math>\theta_A(t)$ ($0 < t \le 1$) in (4) is expressed as

$$\theta_A(t) = \pi + \begin{cases} \arctan\{\mathcal{Q}_A(t)\} + [V\{\Psi(t)\} - 3]\pi & \text{if } A_{(0)}(t) \neq 0, \\ \pi/2 + [V\{\Psi(t)\} - 3]\pi & \text{if } A_{(0)}(t) = 0, \end{cases}$$

which is depicted in Fig. 1.

From Fig. 1, we observe that the unwrapped phase function θ_A can vary rapidly even if deg(A) is small, which suggests the inherent difficulty in phase unwrapping problem. Obviously, this notable feature is hardly detectable by most exiting phase unwrapping algorithms, i.e., [2, 4, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27], essentially based on discrete approximations. Moreover, we also observe that the necessary number of digits to express the coefficients of $\{\Psi_k(t)\}_{k=0}^q$ grows quickly. This phenomenon is called the coefficient growth, which causes numerical instabilities in the direct computer implementation of Algorithm 1 (Sturm- \mathcal{R}) (see Sect. 4.1).

3. EXTENSION OF THE ALGEBRAIC PHASE UNWRAPPING ALONG THE REAL AXIS

The two-dimensional unwrapped phase generally depends on the path of integral.

The next theorem presents a condition which guarantees (i) the unique existence of the two-dimensional unwrapped phase as a C^2 function and (ii) the path independence of the unwrapped phase.

Theorem 2 (Path independence of two-dimensional phase unwrapping) Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a simply connected domain. Suppose that $f_{(i)}$: $\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ (i = 0, 1) are $C^2(D)$ functions satisfying $f(x, y) := f_{(0)}(x, y) + jf_{(1)}(x, y) \neq 0$ for all $(x, y) \in D$. Then the followings hold.

(a) (Unique existence of two-dimensional unwrapped phase) Suppose that θ₀ ∈ (-π, π] satisfying f(x₀, y₀) = |f(x₀, y₀)|e^{jθ₀} is given at some (x₀, y₀) ∈ D, then there exist a unique function θ_f ∈ C²(D) satisfying θ_f(x₀, y₀) = θ₀ and for all (x, y) ∈ D

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial \theta_f}{\partial x}(x,y) = \Im \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial f_{(0)}}{\partial x}(x,y) + j \frac{\partial f_{(1)}}{\partial x}(x,y) \\ \hline f_{(0)}(x,y) + j f_{(1)}(x,y) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\frac{\partial \theta_f}{\partial y}(x,y) = \Im \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial f_{(0)}}{\partial y}(x,y) + j \frac{\partial f_{(1)}}{\partial y}(x,y) \\ \hline f_{(0)}(x,y) + j f_{(1)}(x,y) \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) (Path independence of two-dimensional unwrapped phase) Suppose γ^I: [a, b] → D and γ^{II}: [c, d] → D satisfy γ^I(a) = γ^{II}(c) = (x₀, y₀) ∈ D and γ^I(b) = γ^{II}(d) = (x₁, y₁) ∈ D. Then



Fig. 2. Exact two-dimensional unwrapped phase

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{f}(x_{1}, y_{1}) \\ &= \theta_{f}(x_{0}, y_{0}) + \int_{a}^{b} \Im\left\{\frac{\left(f_{(0)}(\gamma^{\mathrm{I}}(t))\right)' + j\left(f_{(1)}(\gamma^{\mathrm{I}}(t))\right)'}{f_{(0)}(\gamma^{\mathrm{I}}(t)) + jf_{(1)}(\gamma^{\mathrm{I}}(t))}\right\} dt \\ &= \theta_{f}(x_{0}, y_{0}) + \int_{c}^{d} \Im\left\{\frac{\left(f_{(0)}(\gamma^{\mathrm{II}}(\tau))\right)' + j\left(f_{(1)}(\gamma^{\mathrm{II}}(\tau))\right)'}{f_{(0)}(\gamma^{\mathrm{II}}(\tau)) + jf_{(1)}(\gamma^{\mathrm{II}}(\tau))}\right\} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Example 2 Let us construct the unwrapped phase of the bivariate complex polynomial $f(x, y) := f_{(0)}(x, y) + jf_{(1)}(x, y)$ over $[0, 1.3] \times [0, 1.3]$ by using Theorem 1 repeatedly, where

$$\begin{split} f_{(0)}(x,y) &:= x^4 y - 4x^4 - 2x^3 y - 3xy + 10x - 2y^3, \\ f_{(1)}(x,y) &:= x^4 y - 4x^4 - 2x^3 y - 3xy + 10x - 2y^3 + 1. \end{split}$$

Since $f_{(1)}(x,y) = f_{(0)}(x,y) + 1$ for all $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we have $f(x,y) \neq 0$ for all $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. For any $(x^*,y^*) \in [0,1.3] \times [0,1.3]$, we choose the piecewise C^1 path $\gamma_{(x^*,y^*)}$ as

$$\gamma_{(x^*,y^*)}(t) := \begin{cases} (t,0) & \text{if } 0 \le t \le x^*, \\ (x^*,t-x^*) & \text{if } x^* \le t \le x^* + y^* \end{cases}$$

Figure 2 depicts the unwrapped phase $\theta_f(x, y)$ computed by using Theorem 1 repeatedly for two subintervals $[0, x^*]$ and $[x^*, x^* + y^*]$.

4. STABILIZATION OF THE ALGEBRAIC PHASE UNWRAPPING ALONG THE REAL AXIS

4.1. Numerical Instabilities of Algorithm 1

To implement Algorithm 1 (Sturm- \mathcal{R}) precisely, we need large number of digits to express the rational coefficients of the polynomials $\Psi_k(t)$ (e.g., see Example 1). This phenomenon is exactly same as the *coefficient growth* well-known in the computation of the *polynomial remainder sequence* through the Euclidean algorithm [39]. In computer implementation of $\theta_A(t)$ in Eq. (4) through Algorithm 1, the coefficient growth causes the truncation error in the floating-point expression of the rational coefficients (or memory shortages by increasing number of digits for exact expression of the rational coefficients). In particular, once a serious *information loss* (by the addition or subtraction among numbers of ill-balanced absolute values) or *catastrophic cancellation* (by the subtraction number very close numbers) occurs, the gap between theoretical values and numerical values of $\Psi_k(t^*)$ by digital computer becomes unacceptably large.

4.2. Numerical Stabilization by Subresultant Sequence

For a pair of real polynomials

 $\Psi_0(t) := a_m t^m + a_{m-1} t^{m-1} + \dots + a_1 t + a_0,$ $\Psi_1(t) := b_n t^n + b_{n-1} t^{n-1} + \dots + b_1 t + b_0,$ s.t. $a_m \neq 0$ and $b_n \neq 0$, the *i*-th subresultant $\operatorname{Sres}_i(\Psi_0, \Psi_1, t)$ $(i = 0, 1, \dots, \min\{m - 1, n - 1\})$ of $\Psi_0(t)$ and $\Psi_1(t)$ is defined as the determinant of a $(m + n - 2i) \times (m + n - 2i)$ matrix:

 $\operatorname{Sres}_i(\Psi_0, \Psi_1, t)$

$$:= \det \begin{pmatrix} a_{m} a_{m-1} \cdots a_{i} \ a_{i-1} \cdots a_{0} & \Psi_{0}(t) t^{n-i-1} \\ a_{m} \ a_{m-1} \cdots a_{i} \ a_{i-1} \cdots a_{0} & \Psi_{0}(t) t^{n-i-2} \\ \ddots \ddots \ddots \ddots \ddots \ddots \vdots \\ a_{m} a_{m-1} \cdots a_{i} \ a_{i-1} \cdots \Psi_{0}(t) t^{i} \\ \ddots \ddots \ddots \ddots \ddots \vdots \\ a_{m} a_{m-1} \cdots a_{i} \ \Psi_{0}(t) t \\ a_{m} \cdots a_{i+1} \ \Psi_{0}(t) \\ b_{n} \ b_{n-1} \cdots b_{i} \ b_{i-1} \cdots b_{0} & \Psi_{1}(t) t^{m-i-1} \\ b_{n} \ b_{n-1} \cdots b_{i} \ b_{i-1} \cdots b_{0} & \Psi_{1}(t) t^{m-i-2} \\ \ddots \ddots \ddots \ddots \ddots \vdots \\ b_{n} \ b_{n-1} \cdots b_{i} \ b_{i-1} \cdots b_{i} \ \Psi_{1}(t) t^{i} \\ b_{n} \ b_{n-1} \cdots b_{i} \ b_{n-1} \cdots b_{i} \ \Psi_{1}(t) t^{i} \end{pmatrix}$$

It is well-known [38, 39, 40] that $\deg(\operatorname{Sres}_i(\Psi_0, \Psi_1, t)) \leq i$.

The next proposition gives a relation between the sign of the Sturm sequence, generated by applying Algorithm 1, and that of the subresultant sequence.

Proposition 1 (Relation between the sign of the Sturm sequence and that of the subresultant sequence) Let $\{\Psi_k(t)\}_{k=0}^q$ be the Sturm sequence obtained by applying Algorithm 1 to $A_{(0)}(t)$ and $A_{(1)}(t)$.

If $\deg(\Psi_0) \ge \deg(\Psi_1)$, $q \ge 2$ and $\deg(\operatorname{Sres}_i(\Psi_0, \Psi_1, t)) = i$ for all $i \in [0, \deg(\Psi_1) - 1]$, we have $q = \deg(\Psi_1) + 1$ and

$$\operatorname{sgn}(\Psi_{k}(t^{*})) = \operatorname{sgn}\left[(-1)^{\frac{(k-1)k}{2} + (k-1)(\operatorname{deg}(\Psi_{0}) - \operatorname{deg}(\Psi_{1}) + 1)} \times \left(\operatorname{lc}(\Psi_{1})\right)^{\operatorname{deg}(\Psi_{0}) - \operatorname{deg}(\Psi_{1}) + 1} \operatorname{Sres}_{\operatorname{deg}(\Psi_{1}) - k + 1}(\Psi_{0}, \Psi_{1}, t^{*}) \right] (k = 2, 3, \dots, \operatorname{deg}(\Psi_{1}) + 1).$$
(5)

The relations (5) implies that we can compute each sign of $\{\Psi_k(t^*)\}_{k=2}^q$ by $\{\operatorname{Sres}_i(\Psi_0, \Psi_1, t^*)\}_{i=0}^{n-1}$ without computing the coefficients of $\{\Psi(t)\}_{k=2}^q$. Algorithm 2 below evaluates the signs of $\{\Psi_k(t^*)\}_{k=0}^q$ based on (5). In practice, Algorithm 2 plays an adequate role because $\deg(\operatorname{Sres}_i(\Psi_0, \Psi_1, t)) = i$ $(i \in [0, \deg(\Psi_1) - 1])$ holds almost always. (Note: In [35], we have given an algorithm application to general cases including $\deg(\Psi_0) < \deg(\Psi_1)$ or $\deg(\operatorname{Sres}_i(\Psi_0, \Psi_1, t)) < i$ for some $i \in [0, \deg(\Psi_0) - 1]$). The computational complexity for each $\operatorname{Sres}_i(\Psi_0, \Psi_1, t^*)$ is at most $\mathcal{O}((\deg(\Psi_0) + \deg(\Psi_1) - 2i)^{\log_2 7})$.

4.3. Numerical Examples

In this section, we examine the numerical performance of the algebraic phase unwrapping, based on Theorem 1 using Algorithm 2. To make the situation likely to cause numerical instability of the algebraic phase unwrapping over [0, 1], based on Theorem 1 using Algorithm 1, we generate randomly a pair of polynomials:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} A_{(0)}(t) := (t-0.1)(t-0.21)(t-0.5)(t-0.75)(t-0.8)A_{(0)}(t) \\ A_{(1)}(t) := (t-0.15)(t-0.2)(t-0.34)(t-0.35)(t-0.81)\overline{A}_{(1)}(t) \end{array} \right\},$$



Fig. 3. Estimations of the unwrapped phase with Algorithm 1 and 2

where (i) $\overline{A}_{(0)}(t)$ is a polynomial of degree 35 whose 5 roots are generated by the uniform distribution over $\{(-5, -1) \cup (1, 5)\}$ and 15 complex conjugate pairs of roots are generated by the uniform distribution over $\{(-1, -0.5) \cup (0.5, 1)\} \pm j\{(-1, -0.5) \cup (0.5, 1)\}$, and (ii) $\overline{A}_{(1)}(t)$ is a polynomial of degree 15 whose 5 real roots and 5 complex conjugate pairs of roots are generated as for the above $\overline{A}_{(0)}(t)$. Note that polynomials $A_{(0)}(t)$ and $A_{(1)}(t)$ have close root pairs (0.21, 0.8) \approx (0.2, 0.81), which likely causes the catastrophic cancellation [41, 42] explained in Sect. 4.1. Figure 3 depicts one example where Algorithm 1 fails in phase unwrapping at t = 0.2 and t = 0.81 while Algorithm 2 succeeds in phase unwrapping over [0, 1]. Table 1 summarizes the result for 1000 trials, where we observe that the total number of polynomials in failure by Algorithm 1 is reduced to less than 1/24 by replacing it with Algorithm 2.

Algorithm 2 Proposed algorithm for computing (4)		
Input: $A_{(0)}(t), A_{(1)}(t) \in \mathbb{R}[t], a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t^* \in (a, b]$		
1: $\Psi_0(t) \leftarrow \frac{A_{(0)}(t)}{(t-a)^{e_0}}, \Psi_1(t) \leftarrow \frac{A_{(1)}(t)}{(t-a)^{e_1}}$ (where e_i denotes		
the order of $t = a$ as a zero of polynomial $A_{(i)}(t)$ $(i = 0, 1)$		
2: $m \leftarrow \deg(\Psi_0), n \leftarrow \deg(\Psi_1), \operatorname{lc}_1 \leftarrow \operatorname{lc}(\Psi_1)$		
3: for $k = 2$ to $(n + 1)$ do		
4: $\operatorname{sgn}(\Psi_k(t^*)) \leftarrow (-1)^{\frac{(k-1)k}{2} + (k-1)(m-n+1)}$		
$\times \operatorname{sgn}\left(\operatorname{lc}_{1}^{m-n+1}\operatorname{Sres}_{n-k+1}(\Psi_{0},\Psi_{1},t^{*})\right)$		
5: end for		
$\overline{\textbf{Output: } \{ \operatorname{sgn}(\Psi_k(t^*)) \}_{k=0}^{\operatorname{deg}(\Psi_1)+1}}$		

Table 1 . Performance comparison for pairs of random polynomials			
	Algorithm	Total number of pairs $(A_{(0)}, A_{(1)})$ in failure	
	A1		

Algorithm 1	249 (among 1000, in 64-bit floating point arithmetic)
Algorithm 2	10 (among 1000, in 64-bit floating point arithmetic)

5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have extended and stabilized the algebraic phase unwrapping along the real axis. First, we have removed a assumption premised in the original algebraic phase unwrapping. Second, we have elucidated the path independence of two-dimensional phase unwrapping completely, and extended the algebraic phase unwrapping for a pair of bivariate polynomials. Third, after clarifying the relation between the Sturm sequence and the subresultant sequence, we have shown that the algebraic phase unwrapping along the real axis can be stabilized significantly, by evaluating directly the signs of the Sturm sequence, in the terms of the subresultant sequence.

6. REFERENCES

- [1] D. C. Ghiglia and M. D. Pritt, *Two-Dimensional Phase Unwrapping: Theory, Algorithms, and Software*, Wiley, New York, 1998.
- [2] L. Ying, "Phase unwrapping," in Wiley Encyclopedia of Biomedical Engineering, M. Akay, Ed. Wiley, New York, 2006.
- [3] L. C. Graham, "Synthetic interferometer radar for topographic mapping," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 62, no. 6, pp. 763–768, June 1974.
- [4] R. M. Goldstein, H. A. Zebker, and C. L. Werner, "Satellite radar interferometry: Two-dimensional phase unwrapping," *Radio Science*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 713–720, July-August 1988.
- [5] C. V. Jakowatz, Jr., D. E. Wahl, P. H. Eichel, D. C. Ghiglia, and P. A. Thompson, *Spotlight-Mode Synthetic Aperture Radar: A Signal Processing Approach*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Massachusetts, 1996.
- [6] P. N. Denbigh, "Signal processing strategies for a bathymetric sidescan sonar," *IEEE Journal of Oceanic Engineering*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 382– 390, July 1994.
- [7] R. E. Hansen, T. O. Sæbø, K. Gade, and S. Chapman, "Signal processing for AUV based interferometric synthetic aperture sonar," in *Proceedings of MTS/IEEE OCEANS*, 2003, vol. 5, pp. 2438–2444.
- [8] D. L. Fried, "Least-squares fitting a wave-front distortion estimate to an array of phase-difference measurements," *Journal of the Optical Society of America*, vol. 67, pp. 370–375, March 1977.
- [9] R. H. Hudgin, "Wave-front reconstruction for compensated imaging," *Journal of the Optical Society of America*, vol. 67, no. 3, pp. 375–378, March 1977.
- [10] R. J. Noll, "Phase estimates from slope-type wave-front sensors," Journal of the Optical Society of America A: Optics, Image Science, and Vision, vol. 68, no. 1, pp. 139–140, January 1978.
- [11] G. H. Glover and E. Schneider, "Three-point Dixon technique for true water/fat decomposition with B₀ inhomogeneity correction," *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 371–383, April 1991.
- [12] J. Szumowski, W. R. Coshow, F. Li, and S. F. Quinn, "Phase unwrapping in the three-point Dixon method for fat suppression MR imaging," *Radiology*, vol. 192, no. 2, pp. 555–561, August 1994.
- [13] S. Moon-Ho Song, S. Napel, N. J. Pelc, and G. H. Glover, "Phase unwrapping of MR phase images using Poisson equation," *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 667–676, May 1995.
- [14] J. C. Marron, P. P. Sanchez, and R. C. Sullivan, "Unwrapping algorithm for least-squares phase recovery from the modulo 2π bispectrum phase," *Journal of the Optical Society of America A: Optics, Image Science, and Vision*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 14–20, January 1990.
- [15] P. Negrete-Regagnon, "Practical aspects of image recovery by means of bispectrum," *Journal of the Optical Society of America A: Optics, Image Science, and Vision*, vol. 13, no. 7, pp. 1557–1576, July 1996.
- [16] P. Cloetens, W. Ludwig, J. Baruchel, D. Van Dyck, J. Van Landuyt, J. P. Guigay, and M. Schlenker, "Holotomography: Quantitative phase tomography with micrometer resolution using hard synchrotron radiation x rays," *Applied Physics Letters*, vol. 75, no. 19, pp. 2912–2914, November 1999.
- [17] T. Weitkamp, A. Diaz, C. David, F. Pfeiffer, M. Stampanoni, P. Cloetens, and E. Ziegler, "X-ray phase imaging with a grating interferometer," *Optics Express*, vol. 13, no. 16, pp. 6296–6304, 2005.
- [18] R. G. McKilliam, "Frequency estimation by phase unwrapping," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 58, no. 6, pp. 2953 2963, June 2010.
- [19] I. Yamada and K. Oguchi, "High-resolution estimation of the directions-of-arrival distribution by algebraic phase unwrapping algorithms," *Multidimensional Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 22, no. 1-3, pp. 191–211, March 2011.
- [20] T. R. Judge and P. J. Bryanston-Cross, "A review of phase unwrapping techniques in fringe analysis," *Optics and Laser Engineering*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 199–293, 1994.

- [21] Q. Lin, J. F. Vesecky, and H. Zebker, "Phase unwrapping through fringe-line detection in synthetic aperture radar interferometry," *Applied Optics*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 201–208, January 1994.
- [22] J. R. Buckland, J. M. Huntley, and S. R. E. Turner, "Unwrapping noisy phase maps by use of a minimum cost matching algorithm," *Applied Optics*, vol. 34, no. 23, pp. 5100–5108, August 1995.
- [23] B. L. Busbee, G. H. Gollub, and C. W. Nielson, "On direct methods for solving Poisson's equations," *SIAM Journal of Numerical Analysis*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 627–656, December 1970.
- [24] M. D. Pritt and J. S. Shipman, "Least-squares two-dimensional phase unwrapping using FFTs," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 706–708, May 1994.
- [25] D. C. Ghiglia and L. A. Romero, "Minimum L^p-norm twodimensional phase unwrapping," Journal of the Optical Society of America A: Optics, Image Science, and Vision, vol. 13, no. 10, pp. 1–15, October 1996.
- [26] T. J. Flynn, "Two-dimensional phase unwrapping with minimum weighted discontinuity," *Journal of the Optical Society of America A: Optics, Image Science, and Vision*, vol. 14, no. 10, pp. 2692–2701, October 1997.
- [27] M. Costantini, "A novel phase unwrapping method based on network programming," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 813–821, May 1998.
- [28] B. W. Silverman, "Some aspects of the spline smoothing approach to non-parametric regression curve fitting," *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 1–52, 1985.
- [29] C. K. Chui, Multivariate Splines, SIAM, Pennsylvania, 1988.
- [30] G. Wahba, Spline Models for Observational Data, SIAM, Pennsylvania, 1990.
- [31] M. Unser, "Splines: A perfect fit for signal and image processing," *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 22–38, November 1999.
- [32] J. O. Ramsay and B. W. Silverman, *Functional Data Analysis*, Springer, New York, 2nd edition, 2005.
- [33] L. L. Schumaker, *Spline Functions: Basic Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridgeshire, 3rd edition, 2007.
- [34] D. Kitahara and I. Yamada, "A robust algebraic phase unwrapping based on spline approximation," Tech. Rep. 115, IEICE, July 2012.
- [35] D. Kitahara and I. Yamada, "Algebraic phase unwrapping along the real axis – Extensions and Stabilizations," submitted for publication.
- [36] I. Yamada, K. Kurosawa, H. Hasegawa, and K. Sakaniwa, "Algebraic multidimensional phase unwrapping and zero distribution of complex polynomials—Characterization of multivariate stable polynomials," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 46, no. 6, pp. 1639–1664, June 1998.
- [37] I. Yamada and N. K. Bose, "Algebraic phase unwrapping and zero distribution of polynomial for continuous-time systems," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Fundamental Theory and Applications*, vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 298–304, March 2002.
- [38] G. E. Collins, "Subresultants and reduced polynomial remainder sequence," *Journal of the ACM*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 128–142, January 1967.
- [39] W. S. Brown and J. F. Traub, "On Euclid's algorithm and the theory of subresultants," *Journal of the ACM*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 505–514, October 1971.
- [40] H. Anai and K. Yokoyama, Algorithms of Quantifier Elimination and their Applications: Optimization by Symbolic and Algebraic Methods, University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 2011, in Japanese.
- [41] T. Sasaki and M. Sasaki, "Polynomial remainder sequence and approximate GCD," ACM SIGSAM Bulletin, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 4–10, September 1997.
- [42] T. Sasaki and M. Sasaki, "Analysis of accuracy decreasing in polynomial remainder sequence with floating-point number coefficient," *Journal of Information Processing*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 394–403, 1989.